

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,813	07/23/2007	Roberto Giovanetti	296542US0PCT	5614
22850 7590 12/19/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			ZUCKER, PAUL A	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	_
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/593,813	GIOVANETTI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Paul A. Zucker	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposit	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \overline{\text{D}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/07,12/14/06.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

10/593,813 Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 2 recite the limitation "pH comprised between" in lines 4 and 2, respectively. This limitation renders the claims unclear since it appears to allow any pH value whatsoever and is not limited to the stated range. Claims 1, 2 and their dependents are therefore rendered indefinite.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 2 recite the limitation "concentration comprised between" in line 2. This limitation renders the claim unclear since it

10/593,813 Art Unit: 1621

appears to allow any concentration value whatsoever and is not limited to the stated range. Claim 9 is therefore rendered indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferrari et al (US 6,846,950-B2 01-2005) in view of Solomons (Organic Chemistry, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, 1992, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, pages 762-764).

Instantly claimed is a process for the preparation of Gabapentin which comprises: a. the Hofmann rearrangement of 1,1-cyclohexanediaeetic acid monoamide; b. the precipitation of Gabapentin by acidification of the reaction mixture obtained by said rearrangement to a pH comprised between 4 and 6.3 with an organic or inorganic acid.

10/593,813 Art Unit: 1621

Ferrari teaches (Column 3, lines 1-46) a process for the production of Gabapentin hydrochloride via a Hofmann rearrangement 1,1-cyclohexane-diacetic acid monoamide followed by hydrolysis to the corresponding amino acid in concentrated hydrochloric acid solution followed by precipitation from solution as the hydrochloride salt upon cooling. Ferrari teaches (Column 3, lines 21-39) the production of Gabapentin in its free form by the addition of dicyclohexylamine as a free base.

The difference between the instantly claimed process and that taught by Ferrari is the Ferrari does not precipitate Gabapentin in its free form from its initial acidic aqueous solution but precipitates the hydrochloride salt and then neutralizes it with an amine base.

One of ordinary skill in the art, however, would have been motivated to modify the conditions under which the initial Gabapentin was precipitated in order to provide Gabapentin in its free form. By doing so an extra dissolution-precipitation-filtration-drying cycle could be eliminated resulting in reduced costs and increased process efficiency. One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have been motivated to use a weaker acid to treat the product of Hofmann elimination in order to precipitate the free form of Gabapentin from the initial reaction solution. One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have employed an organic acid such as formic or acetic acid as taught by Solomons (Page 763, Table 18.2, entries 1 and 2) in order to create a less acidic environment and thereby produce Gabapentin directly in free form. Any

10/593,813 Art Unit: 1621

optimization of the pH required would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan. There would have been a reasonable expectation for success because the acid –base chemistry required is well understood by one of ordinary skill in the art.

The instantly claimed process would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

#### **Conclusion**

5. Claims 1-9 are pending. Claims 1-9 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Evonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/593,813 Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul A. Zucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621